

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TREVIN D. JONES,

 Petitioner,

 v.

PAUL THOMPSON,

 Respondent.

No. 2:21-cv-00403 TLN DB P

ORDER

Petitioner proceeds pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. On March 31, 2021, respondent moved to dismiss the petition and served a copy of the motion to dismiss on petitioner. To date, petitioner has not opposed the motion to dismiss, sought an extension of time, or filed a statement of non-opposition.

Local Rule 230(l) provides, in pertinent part:

Opposition, if any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not more than twenty-one (21) days after the date of service of the motion. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. Failure of the responding party to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

Local Rule 230; see also Local Rule 110 (failure to comply with the Local Rules may be grounds for sanctions).

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days from the date
2 of this order, petitioner shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion to dismiss. Failure to file an
3 opposition will be deemed consent to have the petition dismissed for lack of prosecution and for
4 failure to comply with the Local Rules and a court order.

5 Dated: May 17, 2021

6
7
8 
9 DEBORAH BARNES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DLB7
jone0403.nooppo